

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,629	07/24/2003	Tim Vermeiren	Q76451	9053
7590 09/22/2005			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			NGUYEN, TU T	
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Q			2877	
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Cumpness	10/625,629	VERMEIREN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tu T. Nguyen	2877				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the state of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNG (a). In no event, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
, — , — , — , — , — , — , — , — , — , —)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.	4) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 8-10</u> is/are rejected.	3)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 8-10</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-7</u> is/are objected to.	Claim(s) 3-7 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	•	·				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			4			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies 	have been received. have been received in a lity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/24/2003.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/625,629

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1) The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A

 COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer

 program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)),

 and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be

 submitted on compact discs.) or

 REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a).

"Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

Application/Control Number: 10/625,629 Page 3

Art Unit: 2877

(f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.

- (1) Field of the Invention.
- (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).
- 2) Specification, pages 2-4, all the claim numbers should be deleted because the claim number in the application might be different during the prosecution.

Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 5, lines 3,7, the phrase "and/or" is an alternative phrase. It should be changed to "and" or "or".

Application/Control Number: 10/625,629

Art Unit: 2877

Abstract

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: At the end of the abstract, the phrase "figure 1" should be deleted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2,8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bjork et al (5,128,619).

With respect to claims 1,8-10 Bjork discloses a system for interpreting reflectometry information. The system comprises: a first module 16 (fig 1) for making a first interpretation 24 (fig 1) and a second module 14 (fig 1) for making a second interpretation; with said first module being a generating system-part for said second module RS232 (fig 1) (column 3, lines 15-20) and with said second module 14 (fig 1) being a testing system-part 146,150 (fig 5b) and a debugging system-part 143 (fig 5b) for said first module.

Bjork discloses the first module comprising: a generating module-part Vs (fig 3), a testing module-part Vi (fig 3) and a debugging module-part (figs 4a – 4e) (column 4, lines 40-60). Bjork does not disclose the second module as claimed. However, it would have been obvious to modify Bjork's second module having a generating module-part, a

testing module-part and a debugging module-part as claimed to make the system more

accurate.

With respect to claim 2, it would have been obvious to modify Bjork with a third

module as claimed to measure different characteristics of the fiber. The modification

involves only routine skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter: prior arts of record do not disclose an interpretation system comprising: a first

interpretation is a pulse-based interpretation, a second interpretation being an energy-

based interpretation, and a third interpretation being a simulation-based interpretation

which structurally arranged and functionally operated as claimed in claim 3 in

combination with all the base claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tu T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2424. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F 7:30-5:30.

Art Unit: 2877

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Toatley Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-2800 Ext. 77. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tu T. Nguyen
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2877

09/17/2005